REMARKS

The claims have been amended to call for duplicating member data for each application that shares the class. No such structure is shown anywhere in the cited reference.

With respect to the objection based on the lack of a summary, the summary, as explained in 37 C.F.R. § 1.73, is optional. ("Such summary should, when set forth, ...). Since the C.F.R. section indicates that the summary should, when included, include certain things, it is plainly indicated that the summary is also excludable. Therefore, reconsideration of the objection is requested.

The objection to claim 3 under Section 112 based on indefiniteness should be reconsidered. Support for claim 3 is contained, for example, at page 6, lines 20-26 and page 11, lines 1-10. The same material supports claims 11 and 19.

The share class sets up the instance of the class to share. For example, the share object may be instantiated first, as shown in Figure 2, and it may set up the class object 12.

With respect to claim 7, the sharable interface is the item 23 in Figure 2.

With respect to the objection to claim 8, the sharable handle is explained at page 8, lines 5 *et seq*.

In view of these remarks, the application should now be in condition for allowance and the Examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 18, 2004

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]